#### **COMMITTEE REF:**

AB(N)/06/16



# NOTICE OF MEETING

COMMITTEE : North Luton Area Board

DATE: Monday, 20 June 2016

TIME : 20:00

PLACE: BRAMINGHAM PRIMARY SCHOOL

FREEMAN AVENUE, LUTON, LU3 4BL

COUNCILLORS : GARRETT (CHAIR) PEDERSEN

CAMPBELL PETTS

R. J. DAVIS ROWLANDS
GREEN WORLDING
LEWIS YOUNG

**CO-OPTED MEMBERS: Bedfordshire Police Representative:** A/Sgt Louise Bates

**Luton Clinical Commissioning Group** 

Representative(s): Dr Anthea Robinson & Liz Cox

Ward Representative(s): tbc

QUORUM: 3 MEMBERS

Contact Officer: Bert Siong (01582 546781)

#### INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC

From 6.30 p.m. to 8.00 p.m. Ward Forums will take place. The Forums will enable issues that are specifically relevant to each Ward to be discussed.

The Area Board will commence at 8.00 p.m.

**PURPOSE**: To enable the Council to effect locally based communication, consultation and decision-making.

This meeting is open to the public and you are welcome to attend.

For further information, or to see the papers, please contact us at the Town Hall:

IN PERSON, 9am to 5pm, Monday to Friday, or

**CALL** the Contact Officer (shown above).

**ACCESS** the Council's Committee Management Information System (CMIS) at agendas.luton.gov.uk/cmiswebpublic/

Arrangements can be made for access to meetings for disabled people.

If you would like us to arrange this for you, please call the Contact Officer (shown above).

# **AGENDA**

Agenda Item	Subject	Page No.
1	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
2	MINUTES	
2.1	Minutes - 3rd March 2016	3 - 11
2.2	Minutes - 17th May 2016	12 - 12
3	Chair's Announcements	
4	Feedback from Ward Forums	
5	Public Question Time	
6	Petitions, If Any	
6.1	Petition - Icknield Way - Thames Water Works (Report of the Service Director, Engineering and Street Services)	13 - 16
6.2	petition - Gooseberry HIII (Report of the Service Director – Public Realm)	17 - 18
6.3	petition - Repton Close (Report of the Service Director – Public Realm)	19 - 20
	REPORTS	
7	Luton Clinical Commissioning Group - Update (Oral Report of the Representative, Luton CCG)	
8	You Said, We're Doing - Neighbourhood Governance Progress Report (Report to follow) (Report of the Strategic Community Services Manager)	
9	Items for Next Board Meeting	
10	Date of Next Meeting: Thursday 13th October 2016 (tbc)	

#### NORTH LUTON AREA BOARD

# 3<sup>RD</sup> MARCH 2016 at 8.00 p.m.

PRESENT: Councillor Garrett (Chair), Councillors Campbell, R. J. Davis, Green,

Lewis, Pedersen, Petts, Rowlands, Worlding and Young

CO-OPTED MEMBERS: Inspector Bernadette White and Sqt Louise Bates -

Bedfordshire Police;

Dr Nina Pearson (Substitute for Dr Anthea Robinson) and

Liz Cox - Luton CCG

# 01. APOLOGY FOR ABSENCE (REF: 1)

An apology for absence from the meeting was received on behalf of Dr Anthea Robinson, Luton CCG, who was substituted by Dr Nina Pearson.

# 02. MINUTES (REFS: 2.1)

**Resolved:** That the minutes of the meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> October 2015 be taken as read, approved as correct records and signed by the Chair.

# 03. FEEDBACK FROM WARD FORUMS (REF: 4)

Feedback on top three key issues discussed at each ward were noted by Members as follows:

#### **Icknield Ward**

- Speeding throughout the ward;
- Nuisance off-road motor cycles, subject of Operation Meteor by the Police;
- Goosebury Hill motorists using/speeding along the un-adopted part of the road. Referred to Highways to take action to resolve the problem.

#### **Northwell Ward**

- Potential GP surgery closure;
- Parking in the area of the building works causing a problem;
- Big problem trying to get out of Rutland Close due to speed and volume of traffic

   roundabout needed;
- Potential closure of Futures House, due to announced withdrawal of Active Luton staff within 6 months. Residents wish to keep it open in some way.

## **Bramingham Ward**

- Nuisance off-road motor cycles, subject of Operation Meteor by the Police;
- No bus services on Sundays being looked at;
- Problems with youngsters on bikes in Sainsbury's car park causing disturbance to shoppers at;

 Problems with discarded needles and contraceptives in track behind Sainsbury's.

# **Limbury Ward**

- Many items that had been on agenda for a while were discharged;
- Suspicious people going through bins;
- Fencing around play area could not be replaced due to lack of funding. Part of the fence would be replaced and funding sought to complete the job;
- 20 mph speed limit now rolled out in the area;

#### **Sundon Park Ward**

- Leagrave Park problems with overgrown vegetation and tree felling;
- Nuisance off-road motor cycles along Sundon Park Road, subject of Operation Meteor by the Police. Two arrests made from information provided by residents:
- Problems with people parking outside Sundon Park shops all day in the 10 minutes restricted area. Highways due to conduct consultation in April 2016.

**Resolved:** That the feedback on the top key issues from the Ward Forums be noted.

# 04. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (REF: 5)

A member of the public known to Cllr Garrett asked why staff at the Tidy tip did not remove fly-tipped rubbish outside the gate of the tip. Cllr Garrett offered to look into the matter.

**Resolved:** That Cllr Garrett would look into the matter and give feedback direct to the questioner.

#### 05. PETITION - MAINTENANCE OF TREES - LIMBURY ROAD (Ref: 6.1)

Barry Timms, the Parks and Cemeteries Manager, presented the report (Ref: 6.1), relating to the petition from residents in Limbury Road requesting that the Council maintain the trees in Limbury Road, as these were blocking lights and affecting TV and satellite signals to their houses.

He stated that blockage to TV and satellite signals were non-actionable nuisance and could not be dealt with. He drew attention to a number of options examined by officers that might have required the trees to be pruned, none of which were applicable.

He concluded that, as the trees were last pruned in 2009, as part of the 5-8 year maintenance cycle and inspected in December 2015, the only course of action was to inspect them again in September 2016 and if required they would be pruned in the winter of 2016-17, when they would be out of leaves.

The Board supported the officer's recommendations and requested that the lead petitioner be informed of the Board's decision.

**Resolved:** (i) That officers' assessment that the trees in Limbury Road did not require pruning at the current time, as they were below the criteria relating to distance of the branches from the properties, be noted and supported by the Board;

- (ii) That the Board instruct officers to inspect the trees again in September 2016 to assess whether they had grown to an extent that had reached the criteria that would require pruning in autumn/winter 2016-17, and report back to the Board at its meeting in October 2016;
- (ii) That the Parks and Cemeteries Manager be requested to inform the lead petitioner of the resolutions of the Board relating to their petition.

# 06. LUTON CLINICAL COMMISSIONING GROUP - UPDATE (REF: 7)

Dr Nina Pearson presented the Luton CCG update, as set out in the Health and Wellbeing page of the report within Item (Ref: 10). She said the main issues were the good news about the funding allocation and the consultation for the reprovisions of a number of GP practices.

#### Liz Cox stated as follows:

- In January 2016, the CCG had been given a 5 year funding allocation, which would make forward planning easier;
- The CCG's allocation was uplifted by 7.5%, the 10<sup>th</sup> highest in the country;
- Health inequalities was still a challenge in Luton, due to underinvestment for many years;
- In 2015-16, the CCG was investing in psychological therapies to improve access closer to people's homes.

Responding to Members' questions/comments, Dr Pearson provided further information as follows:

- Mental health services now provided within Luton, except for certain rare conditions and women's intensive care, provided at East London Foundation Trust's (ELFT) premises in Newnham;
- 4 GP practices contracts were up for re-procurement by NHS England;
- The contracts were held by NHS England, but the CCG was working closely with them to help shape Primary Care in Luton;
- Consultations for the new contracts were to start in the following week, when local people affected would be able to share their views;
- There were no pre-conceived decisions and no closures;
- Consultation would be for 60 days for The Moakes, Whipperley Ring and Sundon Park and 90 days for the Town centre walk-in-centre, as bigger and affected more people;
- People would be encouraged to think and share their views about what good primary and hospital care looked like, e.g. distance to travel, fitness for purpose, including breadth of skills and different specialties provided, best care for people at end of life;

- There was a wide variety of GP practices currently available, some very good ones and some not able to provide a comprehensive range of services and were in premises unfit for purpose. The CCG had a strategy to improve premises for GP Practices;
- Workforce availability was an issue, as it was difficult to recruit doctors and nurses. Locum doctors could not provide continuity of care;
- A new build was planned for Sundon Park;
- The CCG's estate strategy was slightly behind schedule, but work had started. The plan presented big opportunities. The CCG was bidding for capital money from Government. Any money saved from reconfigurations of GP practices would stay in Luton, providing opportunities for investment;
- Dr Pearson agreed to speak to Nicky Poulain about a response to Cllr Worlding's e-mail enquiries;
- About the alleged closure of the Moakes GP practice, she re-iterated that there had not been a decision to close the practice. Consultation was about re-shaping and improving access in Luton, as the building had not fulfilled its full potential and could provide more;
- Patients reference groups requested to contribute their views on how to re-shape primary care services to meet needs;
- It was agreed that the premises in Sundon Park were not big enough for future provisions and that there was an opportunity for a new bigger premises for the teams to work together;
- The Walk-in-Centre re-provision was out for consultation, but there was no plan to close it. A lot of people were seen there, who would otherwise had gone to A&E at greater costs. It was a question of reshaping for the future, given the limited budget;
- Accepting the need for communications between diverse agencies
  providing care in the community to dovetail, she stated that the primary
  care computerised system was the sole repository for all records and
  work was underway to close any gaps and reduce the time taken to
  obtain medical histories. Information sharing was moving in the right
  direction:
- Despite a bad experience by a member of the public about an alleged lack of responses by social services, she said social workers were very good working with the integration team at the hospital to enable discharge from the hospital and delivering well for those in acute needs. (Note: Cllr Green offered to speak to the member of the public after the meeting).

**Resolved:** (i) That the Luton CCG update be noted.

(ii) That the Board's thanks to Dr Nina Pearson and Liz Cox for attending the meeting, providing the update and answering questions be recorded.

# 07. CORONATION MEADOW ON RIVERSIDE WALK – PETITION FOLLOW UP (REF: 8)

Barry Timms, the Parks and Cemeteries Manager presented the follow up report on the petition relating to the Coronation Meadow on Riverside Walk (Ref: 8).

He said he had met with the two ward councillors and agreed a course of actions as set out at paragraph 4 of the report. The intention was that the main area of grass would be mowed in the summer.

Members of the public stated that the grass area was not levelled and could not be used safely.

Barry Timms said it had only been mowed once and more rolling would be done.

One member of the public suggested ring-rolling was needed.

In the long term, Barry Timms requested that the Board allocated £3000 to enable full consultation to take place on the future of the meadow.

Some Members believed nothing would be achieved by the consultation, as the view of the local residents, who used the meadow were already well known, and wider consultation of people who did not use the meadow would be a waste of money.

It was suggested that the money should be used to reinstate the meadow to its original state as requested by residents, which was supported by the public and agreed by the Board.

**Resolved:** (i) That the follow up report of the Parks and Cemeteries Manager, on the Coronation Meadow on Riverside Walk – Petition be noted;

(ii) That because of the already known views and strength of feelings of residents who regularly use the meadow, the Parks and Cemeteries Manager, be requested to arrange for the Coronation Meadow on Riverside Walk to be reinstated to its original state, inform the lead petitioner when done and report back to the October 2016 meeting of the Board.

#### 08. LUTON RIGHTS OF WAY IMPROVEMENT PLAN (REF: 9)

Keith Dove, the Service Manager, Transportation Strategy & Regulation gave a presentation on the Luton Rights of Way Improvement Plan. The full presentation can be accessed from the following Link.

He highlighted a number of key points, including as follows:

- Since the first Rights of Way Improvement Plan published in 2008, key achievements have included:
  - Travel Luton;
  - Green Corridors Project;

- Upper Lea Valley Walk Enhancements;
- Park Run Wardown Park;
- Luton Dunstable Busway & adjacent access track;
- o Luton health walks.
- Currently the Definitive Map includes 38 km of public rights of way (22 km of public footpath and 16 km public bridleway) in Luton;
- Routes in the Excluded Area would be further developed by 2026;
- The action plan included five themes, with a number of key initiatives, as follows:
  - Theme 1 A better signed, maintained & accessible network for the community, developing new routes to plug the gaps, reviewing needs for gates/ stiles and for removal of barriers;
  - Theme 2 Improved promotion of Rights of Way and Access Routes, promoting public transport connectivity to the network, particularly for key routes and parks and producing map of network and promoting information about walks & events;
  - Theme 3 Improving Health and Wellbeing, working with GP practices to develop walking routes and promote the benefits of outdoor physical activity to improve outcomes for people with some long term conditions, e.g. mental health, lung disease and Type 2 diabetes;
  - Theme 4 Promoting Confidence, Safety and Security, ensuring vegetation is regularly cut back, particularly on routes to schools, parks and public open spaces, to provide safe and healthy routes to encourage walking and cycling;
  - Theme 5 Updating the Definitive Map, Influencing Planning and Growth Key initiative, to resolve/ remove excluded areas and review planning applications for opportunities to extend/ develop/ upgrade the Rights of Way and Access networks and protect routes with local historical context.
- Consultation on the plan was ongoing and due to close on 17th March 2016.

Keith Dove then responded to questions/ comments, providing further information as follows:

- There was an annual budget for maintenance and improvement, comprising around £1m of Government grant and about £1.5 m from the Council;
- Liaison with volunteer groups, including the local Ramblers Association, would be part of the action plan;
- Responsibility for maintenance of the Rights of Way rested on different parts of the Council, depending on whether situated in parks or countryside.

**Resolved:** That the presentation of the Service Manager, Transportation Strategy and Regulation on Luton Rights of Way Improvement Plan be noted.

# 09. YOU SAID, WE'RE DOING - NEIGHBOURHOOD GOVERNANCE PROGRESS REPORT (REF: 10)

The Strategic Community Services Manager presented the 'You Said, We're Doing' Neighbourhood Governance progress report drawing attention to the details in the leaflet (Ref: 10).

She said all partners were working well together and would be happy to provide any further information if contacted.

#### **Bedfordshire Police**

Sgt Louise Bates and Inspector Bernadette White, Bedfordshire Police gave a presentation, entitled Operation Meteor, on the Police response to tackle nuisance caused by offenders using off road motor bikes in various parts of Bedfordshire and Luton. The full presentation can be accessed from the following <u>Link</u>.

The following key points were made:

- Members of the public were encouraged to give the Police information on the users and where the bikes were being stored, using the 111 number or by e-mail. Over 400 messages received in the previous month;
- Once intelligence built, response to disrupt the offenders could then be organised to target the various hot spots in Luton;
- The problem was seasonal, with trend increasing in the summer months;
- As well as arrests and prosecution, other ways to tackle persistent offenders also looked at, including seeking evictions;
- Where possible the bikes were seized and destroyed.

Responding to Members' questions/comments, Sgt Bates and Inspector White provided further information as follows:

- The police e-mail address was opmeteor@bedfordshire.pnn.police.uk;
- The Police needed to catch offenders riding the bikes to be able to prosecute them for no insurance;
- The bikes were seized, as they often proved to be stolen;
- The operation had run at weekends and week day afternoons at Bushmead and Warden Hill areas, depending on availability of off-road motorcycle officers.

#### **Police and Crime Commissioner**

Olly Martins, the Police and Crime Commissioner followed on with a presentation of the 'Meeting the challenges of Policing Bedfordshire'. The full presentation can be accessed from the following Link.

Olly Martins provided a range of performance information for Bedfordshire Police, showing the force was performing near the top of the league table, despite

being the 8<sup>th</sup> lowest funded force in the country, in terms of the average number of police officers per 1000 head of population, which was well below the national average.

He made the point that the force was not funded like large urban forces, although it faced the same sort of challenges, such as gun crime, knife crime, acquisitive crime, high number of vulnerable victims, high risk from extremism, etc.

In term of good news, he said gun crime had dropped from the 2013 high, burglaries had dropped by 25% in the previous 12 months and the force was 11<sup>th</sup> highest for detecting crime and had the 3<sup>rd</sup> lowest cost for non-police staff. The force was investing in better technologies to enable officers to spend more time in public and less in the police stations and was looking to double the number of volunteer special constables. The public was asked to be the eyes and ears of the Police, but not to do the job of the Police.

Olly Martins said the force had lost 171 police posts in the previous 5 years and needed 300 extra officers (£12m per year) to tackle its top priorities (under its revised control strategies). He added that he would continue to petition for more resources,

Responding to Members' questions/comments, Olly Martins provided further information as follows:

- The Police was responsible for enforcing red light offences;
- The force would not benefit from fines, as these went direct to the treasury, not the local force;
- The drone trial was in early days of consultation;
- Legislation to cover use of drones was not that advanced. Drone was a cheap option.

Cllr Davis commented that the stats showed Bedfordshire Police was delivering very good performance relative to the resources made available to it, compared with other forces. He added he had not been aware of how difficult matters were and congratulated the Police for doing a brilliant job. His sentiments were echoed by the Board and the public, with a spontaneous round of applause.

**Resolved:** (i) That the 'You Said, We're Doing Neighbourhood Governance Progress Report be noted;

- (ii) That the 'Operation Meteor' and 'Meeting the challenges of Policing Bedfordshire' presentations be noted;
- (iii) That the Board thanks to Sgt Louise Bates, Inspector Bernadette White and P&CC Olly Martins for taking the time to attend the meeting and delivering their interesting and informative presentations be recorded.
- (iv) That the Board's appreciation for the very good work delivered by Bedfordshire Police be recorded.

# 11. ITEMS FOR NEXT BOARD MEETING (REF: 11)

**Resolved:** That items agreed at this meeting as set out below, and any other future items identified be included in the work programme for future meeting of the Board.

- Reinstatement of Coronation Meadow on Riverside Walk: Feedback on progress;
- Petition relating to trees maintenance in Limbury Road: Feedback on progress.

# 12. DATE OF NEXT MEETING (REF: 12)

To be announced.

(Note: Provisionally set for 20<sup>th</sup> June 2016, subject to confirmation)

(Note: The meeting ended at 9.50 pm)

#### **NORTH LUTON AREA BOARD**

17<sup>TH</sup> MAY 2016 at 6.53 p.m.

**PRESENT:** Councillors Campbell, R. J. Davis, Garrett, Green, Lewis, Pedersen, Petts, Rowlands, Worlding, Young.

# 01 ELECTION OF CHAIR (REF: 1.)

**Resolved:** That Councillor Garrett be elected Chair of the North Luton Area Board for the ensuing Municipal Year.

(Note: The meeting ended at 6.54 p.m.)

COMMITTEE: NORTH LUTON AREA BOARD

**DATE:** 20<sup>th</sup> JUNE 2016

**SUBJECT:** PETITION -

ICKNIELD WAY -THAMES WATER WORKS

**REPORT BY:** SERVICE DIRECTOR ENGINEERING AND STREET SERVICES

CONTACT OFFICER: BARRY TIMMS 01582 546702

**IMPLICATIONS:** 

LEGAL ✓ COMMUNITY SAFETY

EQUALITIES ENVIRONMENT

FINANCIAL 

✓ CONSULTATIONS

STAFFING OTHER ✓

WARDS AFFECTED: ICKNIELD

# **PURPOSE**

 The purpose of this report is for Members to consider a petition from twenty six residents living in Marsom Grove expressing concerns with regards the reinstatement works following Thames Water attenuation works;

# **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

2. NORTH LUTON AREA COMMITTEE is recommended to accept option 1 in paragraph 14 and allow the scheme to go forward as planned

# **BACKGROUND**

3. A petition has been received the details are given below:

"We are the residents of Marsom Grove in Barton Hills in Luton. Thames Water are undertaking a project on the green behind our homes along Icknield Way. The site looks like a disaster zone at the moment. We have mountains of soil a few feet from our properties. It is disgusting looking. Thames Water say that they are going to landscape, not with grass as they originally found it but with 'wild flowers' which in reality are really ugly weeds. They are claiming that it is Luton Borough Council who are demanding that these ugly weeds be grown as they require no maintenance. I wonder what we are paying our council tax for. Trevor from the Planning Department in Luton Borough Council says it is Thames Water who want these weeds grown. We would like to know which of them are not telling the truth. We now have mice in our homes as a result of this".

#### REPORT

- 4. On the 18<sup>th</sup> December 2014 Thames water approached the council via its flood management group which is made up of representatives from across the council with a proposal for a water attenuation scheme. Their scheme is to deal with flooding of thirteen properties in Icknield Way and Barton road to be situated on the wide verge on Icknield way. This proposal was to provide a substantial urban drainage scheme (SUDS) to remediate the surface water flooding in the area
- 5. Thames Water who prepared the scheme with their duty to enhance biodiversity (for details see Appendix A) by including landscaping with wildflowers.
- 6. Several meetings were held to refine the detail of the scheme held on 18<sup>th</sup> December 2014 and 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2015 including the landscaping detail and as the council recognised the opportunity to increase the biodiversity of the land in accordance with its own statutory duties, there was a mutual agreement to adopt this approach to the scheme
- 7. The agreed scheme was for the amenity grass areas to be re-sown with grass seed and for the severely sloping areas to be sown with wild flower mixture. Plans of the scheme will be made available at the meeting
- 8. The council also required that Thames water carry out a thorough public consultation; details of which are set out below:
  - On Monday 3rd August 2015 at St Augustines Church Hall a public consultation took place and no objections to the approach taken with a wild flower meadow mix.
  - Letters were sent to residents living within 500m of the site
  - Contacted major stakeholders

The exact details of the consultation will be made available at the meeting.

- 9. Work on site commenced in September 2015 and were nearly completed in December but not before the grounds conditions became too wet for it to be completed. Work was stopped and a return in the following spring was planned to complete the groundworks(May 2016)
- 10. Thames water contractors returned on the 10<sup>th</sup> May 2016 for two weeks to resoil on to the newly created landscape and sow grass seed removing the mountainous piles of soil.
- 11. In response to the petitioners concerns officers have contacted the councils' pests control and investigation officer Paul Mortimer who says "that it is unlikely that these works would have caused mice to appear. Mice are attracted to food sources and that was the likely reason for them appearing in neighbouring gardens and houses"

- 12. The future maintenance for this area is for the amenity areas to be mown as before 6/7 times per year and the wildflower areas to be cut and the arising cleared once per year in winter
- 13. A site meeting between all the parties is arranged for the afternoon of the 8<sup>th</sup> June 2016 and an update will be given at the meeting

# **PROPOSAL/OPTION**

14.2,500 square metres of specially commissioned wildflower-rich turf is being grown for planting in the first week in September this year to complete the scheme.

Members are asked to select from the options listed below;

## Option 1

To allow the scheme to be completed as planned

#### Option 2

To request that the total amount of wildflower meadow is reduced by 50% and replaced with grass seed

### Option 3

To request that the total amount of wildflower meadow is reduced by 100% and replaced with grass seed

# **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 15. The installation of the water attenuation scheme is financed from Thames water and the initial two years maintenance, at that point the maintenance cost returns to the authority.
- 16. Officers will contact Thames water with regard any financial implications of cancelling any part of the landscape scheme which will be made available at the meeting

# **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

17. This report has been cleared by the councils legal section

# LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972, SECTION 100D

There are no background papers to this report.

# **Thames Water - Our biodiversity duties**

Last reviewed: 9.11.2015 - 11.10am

We have had a formal biodiversity duty since 1 October 2006 under Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006.

The Act requires that when we are carrying out our work, we must "have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of [our] functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity".

This includes restoring and enhancing biodiversity, as well as protecting it.

In addition, we have a statutory duty to protect and, where possible enhance, biodiversity and landscapes of natural beauty under the water industry's Code of Practice on Conservation, Access and Recreation 2000.

The Code gives practical guidance to water and sewerage companies and the Environment Agency on environmental and recreational duties under the Water Industry Act 1991 and the Environment Act 1995.

COMMITTEE: NORTH LUTON AREA BOARD

DATE: 20 JUNE 2016

SUBJECT: PETITION – GOOSEBERRY HILL, LUTON

REPORT BY: SERVICE DIRECTOR – PUBLIC REALM

CONTACT OFFICER: CHRISTINE DAVY 546962

**IMPLICATIONS:** 

LEGAL COMMUNITY SAFETY

**EQUALITIES ENVIRONMENT** 

FINANCIAL CONSULTATIONS

STAFFING OTHER

WARDS AFFECTED: ICKNIELD

# **PURPOSE**

1. To report to North Luton Area Board the receipt of a petition regarding a traffic in Gooseberry Hill, Luton

# **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

- 2. North Luton Area Board is recommended to:-
  - (i) note receipt of the petition;
  - (ii) note that a consultation with residents is being held
  - (iii) instruct the Service Director Public Realm to advise the petitioners.

## **BACKGROUND**

- 3. A petition has been received regarding through traffic using Gooseberry Hill signed by 23 residents.
- 4. Gooseberry Hill from number 108 to Grasmere Road is classed as an unadopted highway i.e. there is a right for traffic to pass and repass along it but it is not maintainable at public expense.

# **REPORT**

- 5. Further meetings have been held with the residents, ward councillors and officers.
- 6. Residents feel that there has been an increase in traffic using the unadopted part of Gooseberry Hill as a through route which has been made worse by the recent change of Derwent Road into a one-way street. The additional through traffic is causing damage to the unmade surface and residents face large bills to repair the surface.
- 7. A consultation is currently being undertaken with residents giving them the following options:-
  - a) Installation of a lockable post in the narrow section of the unadopted part of Gooseberry Hill as a trial at residents at residents' expense.
  - b) Carry out works to bring the unadopted part of Gooseberry Hill up to adoptable standards at residents' expense.
  - c) To take no action

COMMITTEE: NORTH LUTON AREA BOARD

DATE: 20 JUNE 2016

SUBJECT: PETITION – REPTON CLOSE, LUTON

REPORT BY: SERVICE DIRECTOR – PUBLIC REALM

CONTACT OFFICER: CHRISTINE DAVY 546962

**IMPLICATIONS:** 

LEGAL COMMUNITY SAFETY

EQUALITIES ENVIRONMENT

FINANCIAL CONSULTATIONS

STAFFING OTHER

WARDS AFFECTED: NORTHWELL

# **PURPOSE**

1. To report to North Luton Area Board the receipt of a petition regarding a traffic issue in Repton Close, Luton

# **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

- 2. North Luton Area Board is recommended to:-
  - (i) note receipt of the petition;
  - (ii) not to recommend installation of a roundabout or traffic signals
  - (iii) instruct the Service Director Public Realm to advise the petitioners.

#### BACKGROUND

- 3. A petition has been submitted requesting a roundabout or traffic lights at the junction of Repton Close and Bramingham Road. The petitioners feel that it is becoming more and more difficult/unsafe to turn right in and out of the junction and would like a roundabout or traffic lights be installed to assist with them entering and leaving their close. They also feel that this would also help reduce the speed of vehicles that travel along Bramingham Road.
- 4. The petition was signed by 98 residents and visitors of Repton Close.
- 5. Bramingham Road carries in the region of 17,000 vehicles a day and in the peak periods between 1000 and 1400 vehicles an hour.
- 6. Repton Close is a cul-de-sac with approximately 135 properties.

### REPORT

- 7. As with all junctions', drivers wishing to turn into or out of Repton Close have to wait for a gap in the traffic and this may be some time especially in the peak periods. However, both traffic signals and roundabout need a substantial turning movement to safely operate.
- 8. In the case of roundabouts the guidelines we use is 10% of the flow of the main road. That would be at least 1700 vehicle movements a day to ensure that drivers on the main route expect to have to give way.
- 9. In the case of traffic signals the guidelines suggest 700 turning movements an hour.
- 10. A survey of the vehicles showed that around 700 vehicles a day were turning in and out.
- 11. Neither a roundabout nor traffic signals are recommended.
- 12. With regard to the speed of traffic. The survey has shown that 33% of the traffic on Bramingham Road is exceeding the Police prosecution levels and therefore, the results have been passed to Bedfordshire Police with a view that they carry out enforcement.