<b>AGENDA ITEM</b>	

COMMITTEE:	<b>REGENERATION AND CITIZENSHIP SCRUTINY</b>	
DATE:	11 <sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2004	
SUBJECT:	ANNUAL REPORT	
REPORT BY:	DIRECTOR OF SCRUTINY	
CONTACT OFFICER:	GEOFF. BOCUTT	01582 546073
IMPLICATIONS:		
LEGAL	COMMUNITY SAF	ETY
EQUALITIES	ENVIRONMENT	
FINANCIAL	CONSULTATIONS	3
STAFFING	OTHER	

### WARDS AFFECTED: NONE

# **PURPOSE**

1. The purpose of this report is to ask the Committee to consider and approve their annual report to the Scrutiny Board.

### RECOMMENDATION(S)

2. Regeneration and Citizenship Scrutiny Committee is recommended to approve their annual report for submission to the next meeting of the Scrutiny Board.

#### BACKGROUND

3. Article 6.4.4 of the Council's constitution requires each of the Scrutiny Committees to submit a report each year to the Scrutiny Board. The report must describe the workings of the committee and make recommendations for the future work programme and any amended working methods.

# <u>REPORT</u>

4. Attached is a draft report of the committee to the Board. It is my intention to ask each committee to consider and review their work programme at the first meeting of the new municipal year.

# PROPOSAL/OPTION

5. The committee is asked to consider their draft report and to suggest any amendments they wish to make.

### APPENDIX

6. Draft annual report of the Regeneration and Citizenship Scrutiny Committee.

# LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972, SECTION 100D

- 7. The Council's Constitution.
- 8. Reports to and minutes of the committee throughout the municipal year 2003-04.

# **REGENERATION AND CITIZENSHIP SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

# ANNUAL REPORT 2003/04

## Introduction

The Council's constitution, introduced in December 2001, provides that each of the scrutiny committees reports once a year to the Scrutiny Board. This is the second annual report of the Regeneration and Citizenship Scrutiny Committee.

## The Committee

During the municipal year covered by this report the Membership of the Committee was as follows:

Chair	Councillor R. J. Davis
Vice Chair	Councillor Strange
Member	Councillor A. Hussain
Member	Councillor Mead
Member	Councillor Shaw
Member	Councillor Simmons

The terms of reference of the Regeneration and Citizenship Scrutiny Committee cover the following:

Executive portfolios	Citizenship and Quality of Life Regeneration and Employment
Functions	Physical regeneration Inward Investment Major Projects Economic Development Heritage and Tourism Jobs and Training Business Advice and Interface New Deal – Welfare to Work Funding Regimes and Lottery Single Regeneration Budget European New Deal for Communities Regionalism Sports Action Zone Community Empowerment Democracy and Citizenship Community Plan Social Regeneration Culture and Arts Crime and Community Safety Equalities Leisure and Amenities

Consumer Protection (*i.e.* Trading Standards and Environmental Health – except for pollution control and pest control) Community and Leisure Centres

#### Mentors

The Scrutiny Board appointed Councillors Roden and McGarvie to oversee the work of the Regeneration and Citizenship scrutiny committee

#### Panels

Members of Regeneration and Citizenship Scrutiny Committee serve on the Swimming Pool and Leisure Facilities Panel, the Children's Services Scrutiny Panel and the Best Value Scrutiny Panel.

### The Role of Scrutiny Committees

The role of scrutiny committees is as follows

- To hold the Executive to account
  - By considering Executive decisions which are 'called in'
  - By giving advice to the Executive about matters included in their forward plan
- To monitor and evaluate performance using
  - Performance Indicators and
  - Best Value reviews of services
- To examine topics of public interest or concern
- To consider topics referred by the Executive
- To undertake policy development, review and revision
- To undertake the role of community champion
  - By reviewing the performance of other public and 'common' services
  - By considering matters affecting the area and/or local people

#### Work completed

The Committee has met generally every four weeks throughout the last municipal year. The Committee continued with the work programme that had previously been set on the basis of the advice tendered by the Scrutiny Board to choose a limited number of topics and to carry out an in depth scrutiny of those topics.

The topics programmed for 2003-04 were as follows.

In depth scrutiny	Monitoring
Community Safety	New Deal for Communities
Regeneration Initiatives (including New	The Hat Factory
Deal for Communities)	

The topics considered by the Committee are set out in the attached appendix.

The committee undertook the annual consultation with partners, stakeholders and applicants about the officers' proposals for grants to voluntary organisations before their consideration by the Executive.

#### Best Value Reviews

The reviews of Community Development and Sport and P.E. were considered by the Committee before being submitted to the Executive for decision. (Note: this paragraph will need to be amended before the annual report is submitted to the Scrutiny Board)

#### Performance

The Committee, following the advice of the Scrutiny Board, appointed two Members to monitor statistical information about the service delivery performance of services coming within the remit of the committee. The Members appointed to this task were Councillors Shaw and Strange. There were no performance issues referred to the Committee by the two nominated Members.

#### Work in Progress

The committee has assembled a considerable amount of evidence on the topic of community safety as a result of interviewing witnesses and has decided to focuss on the links between crime and the misuse of illegal drugs. The Drug Action Team has been developing an information pack for use by counsellors and advice agencies and it is hoped this will be a useful tool for Councillors to use in surgeries and when giving advice to constituents.

The topic of 'Regeneration Initiatives' has been focussed down to the Bury Park Area (generally the wards of Biscot and Dallow) and the committee has been taking evidence about the range of initiatives and programmes and the level of funding applied to such schemes in the area over the last five years. The next step will be to evaluate the effectiveness of the initiatives by asking the delivery agencies to provide information about the outputs and, if possible, the outcomes so that these can be compared to what was predicted when funding was applied for. This area based review may be a pilot for similar reviews in other areas of the town.

The committee has continued to monitor the progress made by the Marsh Farm Community Development Trust in implementing the programme of schemes funded by the Government from the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund.

The Hat Factory will be reviewed when the committee meets there in April after it is fully open for business.

# Future Work Programme

The Committee is continuing with the two major topics that are currently under way, namely Community Safety and Regeneration Initiatives. Further topics may be added as a result of consultation with partners, stakeholders, Councillors and employees.

Councillor R.J. Davis Chair of the Regeneration and Citizenship Scrutiny Committee

8<sup>th</sup> April 2004

# Regeneration and Citizenship Committee Meetings

Date	Topics Considered	Comments
3 July 2003	<ul> <li>Regeneration Initiatives</li> <li>Community safety</li> <li>The Hat Factory</li> <li>Sport and PE – best value review project plan and scope</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>scoping and planning</li> <li>review of evidence and progress</li> <li>progress report</li> <li>endorsed</li> </ul>
11 September 2003	<ul> <li>Community Safety – Safer Luton Partnership</li> <li>Community Development – best value review project plan and scope</li> <li>Regeneration Initiatives</li> <li>Budget prospects for 2004- 05</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>presentation on the work of the partnership</li> <li>endorsed</li> <li>Update on New Deal</li> </ul>
3 October 2003	<ul> <li>Joint meeting with environment to consider the draft Milton Keynes and South Midlands Sub- regional Strategy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recommendations submitted to the Executive as to the Council's response</li> </ul>
9 October 2003	<ul> <li>Anti-social behaviour orders</li> <li>Regeneration initiatives</li> <li>Community Safety</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Update</li> <li>Drugs seminar planned</li> </ul>
4 December 2003	<ul> <li>Leisure facilities</li> <li>Swimming pool</li> <li>Police resourcing and deployment</li> <li>Anti-social behaviour orders</li> <li>Work programme</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fees and charges – further report requested</li> <li>Panel set up</li> <li>Presentation by the Chief Superintendent</li> <li>Update</li> <li>Review</li> </ul>
15 January 2004	<ul> <li>Youth Service 3 year plan</li> <li>Community Development best value review – vision report</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>References to the budget</li> <li>progress</li> <li>A range of proposals</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Invest to save proposals</li> </ul>	to be developed
<ul> <li>Draft budget 2004-05</li> </ul>	

<ul> <li>12 February 2004</li> <li>Community Development best value review vision report</li> <li>Community Cohesion - progress</li> <li>Regeneration – report on funding in Bury Park</li> <li>Tackling anti-social behaviour</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Signed off subject to amendments</li> <li>Report back on ESOL</li> <li>Information on effectiveness requested</li> <li>Community safety officer – to report regularly</li> </ul>
---	---