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## WARDS AFFECTED: ALL

## PURPOSE

1. To present information on the current composition of the workforce in schools and compare outcomes with previous years. To report the outcome of the discussion on this report by the Scrutiny Committee meeting on 28 November 2002.

## RECOMMENDATION(S)

2. The Race Advisory Forum is recommended to:
(i) note the contents of the report.
(ii) comment on the current workforce data.
(iii) report and submit comments for consideration by the Executive.

## BACKGROUND

3. Whilst the composition of the schools' workforce lies outside corporate equality targets, an exercise to establish the composition of the workforce in schools has been carried out annually since 1997. The format for the collection of data has been agreed with the professional associations and unions. The data for this report was gathered electronically for the first time.

## REPORT

4. The response from the survey was as follows.

Return rates by schools
TABLE 1

| $\%$ | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 89 | 91 | 86 | 91 |
| Community Schools | 90 | 92 | 87 | 92 |
| Foundation Schools | 80 | 80 | 80 | 60 |
| V.A Schools | 87 | 89 | 78 | 100 |

The current year' return rate therefore is broadly equal to the previous response rates in 2001 and 1999. However, these figures can be deceptive in so far as they only represent school participation rates.

Return rates by employees

## TABLE 2

| $\%$ | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employees <br> responding | 91 | 52 |

The return rate for employees on an individual basis is a much more accurate reflection of the level of participation, and therefore the value of any analysis. Last year's employee response rate was $52 \%$ of staff in the schools responding. This year that has increased to $91 \%$, or 3,920 responses out of a possible 4,288 .

## Ethnicity

The ethnic composition of the whole school workforce is as follows
TABLE 3

| $\%$ | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White British/Other | 81.2 | 88.2 | 88.6 | 90.5 |
| Minority Ethnic | 12.2 | 11.8 | 11.4 | 9.5 |
| Unknown | 6.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The ethnic composition of the teaching workforce is as follows

TABLE 4

| Teachers Only | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ |  |  |  |  |
| White British/Other | 86.0 | 88.8 | 90.1 | 94 |
| Minority Ethnic | 9.3 | 11.2 | 9.9 | 6 |
| Unknown | 4.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Whilst these figures suggest an increase in the number of employees from a minority ethnic back ground, $12.2 \%$ in 2002 as opposed to $11.8 \%$ in 2001, and a decrease in the number of minority ethnic teachers, from $11.2 \%$ in 2001 to $9.1 \%$ in 2002, in both cases these changes are likely to simply reflect the higher rate of response than in previous years.

The number of minority ethnic teachers continues to include a significant number of overseas trained teachers recruited this year, with a continuation of the government's relaxation of the rules governing employment of this group (particularly surrounding the granting of Work Permits). The Lifelong Learning Department has continued to work to promote Luton as a challenging and stimulating multicultural environment. One of the strategies has been to make available bursaries for current employees from these groups to fund further training towards gaining qualified teacher status. About $15 \%$ of the current intake of students with Shire, the Chiltern Training Group and on the Graduate and Registered Teaching Programme, are from an ethnic minority.

Early in 2002 the Department issued a Model Race Equality Policy for Schools to assist them in meeting their responsibilities under the Race Relations (Amendment) Act 2000. Briefings sessions on the Act were also held.

## Gender

The overall composition of the workforce by gender is as follows
TABLE 5

| Gender | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 15.4 | 13.8 | 12.9 | 14.3 |
| Female | 84.6 | 86.2 | 87.1 | 85.7 |

The increase in the number of male employees can again be explained by the higher response rate.

Disability
The composition of the workforce by disability is as follows

TABLE 6

| Classification | Number | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Employees with a Disability | 15 | 0.4 |
| Employees without a Disability | 3905 | 99.6 |
| Total | 3920 | 100 |

The total number of employees declaring a disability under the definition of the Disability Discrimination Act (1995) is 15, as compared to 30 in 2001 and 20 in 2000. The current year's figure equates to $0.4 \%$ of total employees. This reduction is unlikely to be due to staff turnover but due to non-declaration as this year, for the first time, data was collected in a named format at the school for electronic report generation.

## EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

5. The report provides statistical information, which informs council action in respect of equalities.

## STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

6. This report may have indirect staffing implications through positive action recruitment and employment policies and practices within schools.

## SCRUTINY COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION

7. The Scrutiny Committee meeting on 28 November 2001 considered this report. Their resolutions were:
(i) That the report be noted.
(ii) That the Director of Lifelong Learning be advised to commend best practice to Head Teachers and Chairs of Governors with regard to racial equality.
(iii) That the Lifelong Learning and Social Inclusion Scrutiny Committee and the Teacher Recruitment and Retention Panel be advised of the issues surrounding the lack of male teaching staff and to investigate measures to overcome the lack of male role models to pupils.

## APPENDICES

8. Appendix A: Ethnic composition of the workforce

Appendix B: Ethnicity by grade
Appendix C: Gender by grade

## LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972, SECTION 100D
9. Scrutiny Committee report $28^{\text {th }}$ November 2002.

## APPENDIX A

Ethnic Composition in the Workforce


APPENDIX B

|  | Teachers - Leadership Grade |  | Teachers Management Allowances |  | Teachers |  | Classroom Assts | Nursery Nurses | Teaching Assistants / Nursery Nurses |  | Other School Support Staff |  | Unkno wn |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Origin | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| Asian Other | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.0\% |
| Bangladeshi | 1 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 12 | 0.3\% | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.2\% | 28 | 0.7\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 51 | 1.3\% |
| Black African | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 0.1\% | 16 | 0.4\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0\% | 5 | 0.1\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 25 | 0.6\% |
| Black Caribbean | 2 | 0.1\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 19 | 0.5\% | 6 | 3 | 9 | 0.2\% | 38 | 1.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 70 | 1.8\% |
| Black Other | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0\% | 7 | 0.2\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 0.3\% |
| Chinese | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 1 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0\% | 6 | 0.2\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 0.3\% |
| Indian | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 0.1\% | 34 | 0.9\% | 19 | 5 | 24 | 0.6\% | 62 | 1.6\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 124 | 3.2\% |
| Kashmiri | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.1\% |
| Mixed White and Asian | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.1\% |
| Mixed White and Black African | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| MIXED WHITE AND BLACK CARRIBEAN | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Other | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 0.4\% | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.2\% | 15 | 0.4\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 38 | 1.0\% |
| Other Mixed Background | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 0.1\% |
| Pakistani | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 0.2\% | 28 | 0.7\% | 20 | 18 | 38 | 1.0\% | 109 | 2.8\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 181 | 4.6\% |
| White British | 116 | 3.0\% | 302 | 7.7\% | 835 | 21.3\% | 301 | 159 | 460 | 11.7\% | 1426 | 36.4\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 3139 | 80.1\% |
| White Irish | 2 | 0.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 0.1\% |
| White Other | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0\% | 3 | 0.1\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 0.1\% |
| Unknown | 2 | 0.1\% | 6 | 0.2\% | 61 | 1.6\% | 19 | 4 | 23 | 0.6\% | 164 | 4.2\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 256 | 6.5\% |
| Total | 123 | 3.1\% | 327 | 8.3\% | 1026 | 26.2\% | 384 | 190 | 574 | 14.6\% | 1870 | 47.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 3920 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 100.0 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
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## APPENDIX C

|  | Teachers - Leadership <br> Grade | Teachers -Management <br> Allowances | Teachers | Teaching Assistants/ <br> Nursery Nurses | Other School <br> Support Staff | Unknown | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 90 | 230 | 784 | 562 | 1649 | 0 | 3315 |
| Male | 33 | 97 | 242 | 12 | 221 | 0 | 605 |
| Total | 123 | 327 | 1026 | 574 | 1870 | 0 | 3920 |
| $\%$ Female | $2.3 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $42.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
| $\%$ Male | $0.8 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| $\%$ Total | $3.1 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

