

**COMMITTEE:** ENVIRONMENT AND NON-EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS  
SCRUTINY

**DATE:** 23<sup>RD</sup> JANUARY, 2003

**SUBJECT:** THE SCRUTINY PROCESS

**REPORT BY:** DIRECTOR OF SCRUTINY

**CONTACT OFFICER:** GEOFF BOCUTT 546073

**IMPLICATIONS:**

LEGAL	COMMUNITY SAFETY
EQUALITIES	ENVIRONMENT
FINANCIAL	CONSULTATIONS
STAFFING	OTHER

**WARDS AFFECTED: NONE**

---

### **PURPOSE**

1. The purpose of this report is to remind Members of the outcome of stage one of the Committee's second topic of this year's work programme, Transport – traffic and parking.

### **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

2. The Environment and Non Executive Functions Scrutiny Committee is recommended to advise on how they wish to proceed with the scrutiny of the Transport – traffic and parking topic.

### **BACKGROUND**

3. The Committee at their meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> October 2002 undertook the first stage of the scrutiny process for their second topic of Transport – traffic and parking.

### **REPORT**

4. For Members information Attached at Appendix A is the extract from the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 11<sup>th</sup> October 2002, showing the outcome of the first stage of the scrutiny process for the Transport – traffic and parking topic.

#### **PROPOSAL/OPTION**

5. The Committee is asked to have regard to the five stage approach recommended by the Scrutiny Board.

#### **APPENDIX**

6. Appendix A – outcome of stage one of the scrutiny process for the Transport - traffic and parking topic.

#### **LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS**

#### **LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972, SECTION 100D**

7. Committee Report Ref: ENS/10/02/6.1
8. Minute 44 of Environment and Non-Executive Functions Scrutiny Committee.

## APPENDIX A

### ENVIRONMENT AND NON-EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

11<sup>th</sup> October, 2002 at 6.30 p.m.

PRESENT: Councillor Dr Flegmann (Chair): Councillors Banerji and Franks.

IN ATTENDANCE: Councillors J. Davies, Dolling and Siederer.

#### THE SCRUTINY PROCESS (REF: 6.1)

44 The Director of Scrutiny reported on Transport as the second topic in the work programme for the Committee and advised that this would be the first stage of the scrutiny of the topic. He asked Members to refer to the checklist in the report (Ref: 6.1).

Members then followed the process for planning the scrutiny of Transport.

#### Scope:

Policies - check application/delivery	HGV's Traffic Transport Highways LTP Alignment – Government/Regional Vision Goals – Quality of Life UTC – capacity, priorities
Parking Standards	Controlled parking zones Isochrones Enforcement Surface Access Trip end control
Airport Modal shift Green Travel Plan Vulnerable Users Sustainable Transport Traffic Calming Schools Safety	Pedestrians, Cyclists      Parking, safety Actual versus perceived

#### Purpose:

Check Policy	To check for alignment and any holes in policies.
Test Implementation of Policies	Effectiveness, delivery
To achieve maximum effectiveness from resources	
Progress towards visionary goals (2010)	
Review Performance Indicators.	

## APPENDIX A

<b><u>Information Required</u></b>	<b><u>Source</u></b>	<b><u>Witnesses</u></b>	<b><u>Stakeholders</u></b>
Policies	Government 10 year transport plan Regional transport Strategy	Head of Planning – with aid of presentation. The Strategic Transport Manager	GO East Network Rail Highways Agency Midland Main Line Bus Companies Haulage Companies Major Employers Private car park operators
Public opinion Experiences of other local authorities	LTP and topic strategies  Citizen’s Panel Good/Bad – practices – Beacon Authorities	Local Transport Forum	Town Centre Management Group Arndale Management

Members of the Committee agreed that individual Members be nominated if necessary to deal with specific aspects of the scrutiny of Transport.

**Resolved:** That the suggested planning for the scrutiny of the Committees second topic of Transport in the current years work programme be noted.